



UNITED STATES PARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR			ATTORNEY DOCKET NO	
09/756,386	01/08/01	PETITE		Т	081607	-1021
		EXAMINER				
TM02/0927 THOMAS, KAYDEN, HORSTEMEYER & RISLEY, LL				BARNIE,		
STE 1750				ART UNIT	PA	PER NUMBER
100 GALLERIA ATLANTA GA 3		N₩		2643 DATE MAILED	: 09/27	/01

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

1- File Copy

Office Action Summary

 \mathcal{C}_1

Application No. 09/756,386

Applicant(s

THOMAS PETITE

Examiner

Rexford Barnie

Art Unit **2643**



	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sheet with the correspondence address			
	for Reply	•			
THE	IORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.				
af - If the be - If NO co - Failu - Any	fter SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days e considered timely. Depriod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pommunication. In the to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by reply received by the Office later than three months after the	CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed cation. s, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this y statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). e mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any			
ea Status	arned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).				
1) 💢	Responsive to communication(s) filed on Jan 8, 20				
2a) 🗌		tion is non-final.			
3) 🗆		except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is			
Disposi	ition of Claims				
4) 💢	Claim(s) 33-73	is/are pending in the application.			
4	4a) Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.			
5) 🗆	Claim(s)	is/are allowed.			
6) 💢	Claim(s) <u>33-73</u>				
7) 🗆	Claim(s)				
8) 🗆	Claims	are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.			
Applica	ation Papers				
9) 🗆	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.				
10)□	The drawing(s) filed on is/are	The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner.			
11)□	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a)□ approved b)□ disapproved.			
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exami	iner.			
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign p	riority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).			
•	☐ All b)☐ Some* c)☐ None of:				
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents hav				
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents hav				
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority de application from the International Bure see the attached detailed Office action for a list of the				
14)	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).			
Attachm	nent(s)				
	lotice of References Cited (PTO-892)	18] Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).			
· -	lotice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	19) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)			
17) 💢 In	nformation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s). 3	20} Other:			

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- Claims 33, 46, 55, 72 and 73 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by 2. karimullah (US Pat# 5,343,493, cited by applicant).

Regarding claims 33, 46, 55, 72 and 73, Karimullah teaches a personal assistance system and method for use with a cellular communication system comprising of a transmitter (20), a transceiver (80) connected to a cell site (30) and to a PSTN (70) wherein a user/subscriber can transmit a service request to a monitoring station (AAA, ADT, 911 and so forth, see fig. 1). Karimullah teaches sending a remote signal to request a service wherein the location of the transmitter can be determined and a codeword can be used to send instruction indicative of a requested service (see columns 7-8).

3. Claims 33, 46, 55 and 72-73 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by. Lebowitz (US Pat# 4,825,457, cited by applicant).

Regarding claims 33, 46, 55, 72 and 73, Lebowitz teaches a cellular network data transmission system comprising of sensing a condition from a burglar alarm, fire detector and so forth wherein a remote signal can be sent to a central numitoring station for further analysis (see Application/Control Number: 09/756,386 Page 3

Art Unit: 2643

entire disclosure). Lebowitz teaches in (column 4 and so forth) determining the location of the origination of an alarm wherein a service request instruction is received. Note that the monitoring system can be used in conjunction with a plurality of devices (see column 2 lines 48-52).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 34-45, 47-54 and 56-71 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lebowitz in view of Burnett (US Pat# 6,067,030, cited by applicant).

Regarding claims 34-45, 47-54 and 56-71, Lebowitz fails to teach the claimed subject matter but Burnett teaches monitoring of a system wherein an alarm signal can be sent via the internet, PSTN, wireless transmission and so forth (see column 3 lines 63-67) and the address associated with the origination point can be displayed on a graphical interface (see column 7 lines 16-33). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the teaching of Burnett into that of Lebowitz thus making it possible to transmit an alarm signal via the internet to include pertinent information about the originating address.

Application/Control Number: 09/756,386

Art Unit: 2643

Conclusion

Page 4

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communication from the examiner

should be directed to REXFORD BARNIE whose telephone number is (703) 306-2744. The

examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8:30 to 6:OOp:m. If attempts

to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Curtis Kuntz, can

be reached on (703) 305-4708.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to (703) 872-9314 and labeled accordingly (Please label "PROPOSED/INFORMAL"

or "FORMAL").

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crysta! Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington,

VA., Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be

directed to the group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 306-0377.

Rexford Barnie

Patent Examiner

RB 09/28/01.